# TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: NC

APPLICATION YEAR: 2005

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- . FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
  - MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
  - o MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
  - SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
  - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
  - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
  - OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
  - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
  - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
  - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
  - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
  - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
  - o POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL	. ASSISTA		2. DATE SUBMITTED //15/2004			APPLICANT IDENTIFIER			
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:			3. DATE RE	CEIVED BY STATE		STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER			
Application Pre-a	pplication								
Construction	Construction		4. DATE RE	CEIVED BY FEDERAL AGE	ENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER			
Non-Construction	Non-Construc	tion							
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION					``				
Legal Name: NC DHHS			Organizatio	onal Unit:					
Address (give city, county, state and zip Department of Health and Human Ser 1916 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699 County: Wake			application Name: <b>Ke</b> v	Name and telephone number of the person to be contacted on matters involving this application (give area code) Name: <b>Kevin Ryan</b> Tel Number: <b>919-715-7932</b>					
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUM  5 6 0 2 3	6	A. State B. County C. Municip D. Townsl E. Intersta F. Intermu	ole J. Private Universit nip K. Indian Tribe	hool District Institution of y	,				
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:  New Continuation Revision	ın		9 NAME O Health Re	F FEDERAL AGENCY: sources and Services Adn	ninistration,	Maternal and Child Health Bureau			
If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increase Decrease Duration Other (specify):	box(es)								
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMEST NUMBER:	IC ASSISTAI	NCE	H	RIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICA all and Child Health Progra		JECT:			
9 3 9 9 4				_					
TITLE: Maternal and Child Health Ser	vices Block	Grant							
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT states, etc.): State of North Carolina	(cities, comm	unities,							
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:		14. CON	GRESSION.	AL DISTRICTS OF:					
Start Date: Ending Date: 09/30/2005		a. Applicated a.	ant gressional	Dist.	b. Project All NC con	gressional dist			
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:	16. IS A	APPLICAT	ION SUBJE	CT TO REVIEW BY STATE	EXECUTIV	E ORDER 12372 PROCESS?			
a. Federal \$17,522,028	ORI	DER 1237		TION/APPLICATION WAS I S FOR REVIEW ON	MADE AVAII	LABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE			
b. Applicant \$	D.00 b. NO								
c. State \$\$ 38,515,199		_		OT COVERED BY E.O. 123					
d. Local \$	0.00	☐ OR F	PROGRAM I	HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED	D BY STATE	FOR REVIEW			
e. Other \$ 49,151,133	7.00								
f. Program   \$ 55,448,624	4.00								
g. TOTAL \$ 160,636,988	s oo ll			INQUENT ON ANY FEDER explanation Vo	AL DEBT				
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDG DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHO ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE AS	RIZED BY T	HE GOVE	RNING BO						
a. Typed Name of Authorized Represen Carmen Hooker Odom	tative			b. Title Secretary, NC DHHS		c. Telephone Number (919)733-4534			
d. Signature of Authorized Representati	ve					e. Date Signed			

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

Form 2	2		
MCH BUDGET DETAIL			
[Secs. 504 (d) and 50			
STATE: N	iC		
FEDERAL ALLOCATION     (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424])     Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:		\$	17,522,028
A.Preventive and primary care for children:			
\$5,440,366 (31.05%)			
B.Children with special health care needs:			
\$ 5,920,951 ( 33.79 %) (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the application	on)[Sec. 505(a)(3)]		
C.Title V admininstrative costs:			
\$ 124,521 ( 0.71 %) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]			
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)		\$	0
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)		\$	38,515,199
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)		\$	0
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)		\$	49,151,137
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)		\$	55,448,624
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 29,063,379		\$	143,114,960
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PART (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	NERSHIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$	160,636,988
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the Title	V program)		
a. SPRANS:	\$0		
b. SSDI:	\$ 132,393		
c. CISS:	\$ 150,436		
d. Abstinence Education:	\$ 1,151,876		
e. Healthy Start:	\$2,404,332		
f. EMSC:	\$ 0		
g. WIC:	\$ 119,659,685		
h. AIDS:	\$ 0		
i. CDC:	\$ 8,371,296		
j. Education:	\$ 68,465		
k. Other:	*		
See Notes	\$ 96,793,266		
	\$		
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item 9)		\$	228,731,749
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL		s	389,368,737
(Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)		<u> </u>	333,330,707

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main
 Field Name: OtherFedFundsOtherFund
 Row Name: Other Federal Funds - Other Funds

Column Name: Year: 2005

Field Note:
FY2005 Other Category includes:
Special Nutrition
Title X

Medicaid FFP
CHIP (Health Choice)
MCHB-Newborn Hearing
Substance Abuse PT
Non Title V Ind. Cost

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: NC

	FY 2	2003	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$17,224,639	\$5,046,392	\$ 17,183,075	\$0	\$ 17,522,028	\$0
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$45,474,700	\$ 39,977,662	\$ 39,427,038	\$0	\$ 38,515,199	\$0
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$49,249,817	\$43,862,643	\$49,872,561	\$0	\$49,151,137	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$ 60,745,850	\$ 55,448,624	\$ 48,304,602	\$0	\$55,448,624	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$172,695,006	\$154,265,704	\$154,787,276	\$0	\$160,636,988	\$0
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$	\$	\$	\$0	\$\$	\$0
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$387,771,257	\$ 364,020,639	\$371,575,336	\$ <u> </u>	\$389,368,737	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: NC

	FY 2	2000	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$16,784,030	\$14,329,918	\$16,928,823	\$14,661,877	\$ 16,928,823	\$7,294,256
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$1,476,846	\$0	\$\$	\$0	\$ 6,538,744
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 60,915,918	\$ 46,150,672	\$52,050,425	\$ 41,615,496	\$ 37,629,844	\$ 41,106,028
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$48,018,749	\$ 44,825,883	\$36,846,638	\$53,122,276	\$ 49,693,589	\$ 53,470,046
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$ 68,960,272	\$ 60,745,850	\$62,371,036	\$ 60,745,850	\$ 60,745,850	\$ 48,304,602
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$194,678,969	\$167,529,169	\$168,196,922	\$ 172,670,173	\$164,998,106	\$ 156,713,676
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$107,704,266	\$ 188,699,836	\$ 110,129,086	\$185,788,863	\$ 189,122,412	\$ 196,081,525
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$302,383,235	\$356,229,005	\$\$	\$358,459,036	\$354,120,518	\$ 352,795,201
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

Add note why 10% difference in here.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002

Field Note:

In FY 01-02, the state began a new accounting method for the grant, in which the earliest open grant.

In FY 01-02, the state began a new accounting method for the grant, in which the earliest open grant was drawn to cover current expenditures. This caused a higher-than-normal expenditure of funds from the 00-01 grant during this time period and a lower-than-normal expenditure from the 01-02 grant award.

 Section Number: Main Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Due to the State's new accounting method implemented in FY02, the earliest open grant is drawn to cover current expenditures; thus the unobligated balance (which isn't budgeted from year to year) is used first and then funds are drawn from the federal allocation for the current year. This makes the expended amount differ from the budgeted amount by more than 10%.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

In FY 01-02, the state began a new accounting method for the grant, in which the earliest open grant was drawn to cover current expenditures. This caused a higher-than-normal expenditure of funds from the 00-01 grant during this time period and a lower-than-normal expenditure from the 01-02 grant award

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Due to the State's new accounting method implemented in FY02, the earliest open grant is drawn to cover current expenditures; thus the unobligated balance (which isn't budgeted from year to year) is used first and then funds are drawn from the federal allocation for the current year. This makes the expended amount differ from the budgeted amount by more than 10%.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures is 12%. During FY 02-03, the state continued to struggle financially. Hiring slowdowns and spending restrictions remained in place during the year. State agencies were encouraged to revert state funds to help with the budget crisis.

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Other Funds Expended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures is 14%. The majority of the budget for this category is infant formula rebates. During FY 02-03, the rebate funds received was less than the estimated budget for these by 12%.

7. Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

The primary reason for the lower amounts of FY02 program income expended vs. budgeted was due to a change in the definition of child service coordination services provided by local health departments that were billable to the state Medicaid program. This change caused a sharp decrease in the number of services provided and total dollar amount reimbursed from Medicaid to local health departments.

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: NC

	FY	2003	FY 2	2004	FY 2005			
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED		
a. Pregnant Women	\$46,425,130	\$41,926,200	\$ 36,735,250	\$0	\$ 43,657,781	\$0		
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$ 21,474,971	\$ 14,688,540	\$ 59,629,941	\$0	\$ 15,295,187	\$0		
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$ 52,191,662	\$ 60,761,040	\$ 30,431,992	\$0	\$ 63,270,515	\$0		
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$ 31,889,787	\$ 24,591,356	\$ 16,469,372	\$0	\$ 25,606,996	\$0		
e. Others	\$ 19,802,059	\$12,107,224	\$ 11,221,344	\$0	\$12,607,261	\$0		
f. Administration	\$911,397	\$ 191,344	\$ 299,377	\$0	\$ 199,248	<u>\$</u> 0		
g. SUBTOTAL	\$_172,695,006	\$ 154,265,704	\$154,787,276	\$0	\$160,636,988	\$0		
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	control of the person r	esponsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).				
a. SPRANS	\$0		\$0		\$0			
b. SSDI	\$ 110,822		\$ 103,108		\$ <u>132,393</u>			
c. CISS	\$ 100,000		\$ 95,268		\$ 150,436			
d. Abstinence Education	\$1,151,876		\$ <u>1,151,876</u>		\$ <u>1,151,876</u>			
e. Healthy Start	\$ 3,571,234		\$ 2,836,613		\$ 2,404,332			
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0			
g. WIC	\$ 110,520,759		\$ 116,499,274		\$ 119,659,685			
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0			
i. CDC	\$ 7,692,119	]	\$ 7,514,040		\$ 8,371,296			
j. Education	\$0		\$ 63,261		\$ 68,465			
k.Other								
See Notes	\$91,929,441	]	\$0		\$ 96,793,266			
See notes	\$0	]	\$ 88,524,620		\$0			
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 215,076,251	]	\$ 216,788,060		\$ 228,731,749			

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: NC

		FY 2	2000		FY 2001				FY 2002			
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Bud	GETED	EXPENDED		Budo	GETED	Ехрі	ENDED	Bu	DGETED	EXF	PENDED
a. Pregnant Women	\$	50,032,495	\$45	,300,150	\$	43,275,554	\$	46,418,454	\$	44,615,746	\$	44,764,240
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	20,246,613	\$19	,692,589	\$	18,772,122	\$	21,471,883	\$	19,395,070	\$	18,979,543
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	51,005,890	\$48	3,481,216	\$	49,963,737	\$	52,184,157	\$	47,748,752	\$	49,992,632
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	56,846,259	\$ 33	3,982,401	\$	32,272,112	\$	31,885,201	\$	33,468,989	\$	30,303,585
e. Others	\$	15,574,318	\$ <u> </u>	,200,036	\$	22,890,760	\$	19,799,211	\$	18,909,958	\$	12,370,572
f. Administration	\$	973,394	\$	872,777	\$	1,022,637	\$	911,267	\$	859,591	\$	303,103
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ <u> </u>	194,678,969	\$ 167,529,	,169	\$ 16	68,196,922	\$ <u>1</u>	72,670,173	\$	164,998,106	\$	156,713,675
a. SPRANS b. SSDI c. CISS	\$ \$ \$	79,105 50,000			\$\$ \$\$	80,017 25,000			\$ \$ \$	0 121,084 843,149		
d. Abstinence Education	\ <u>-</u>   \$	1,151,876			\$	1,151,876			\$	1,151,876		
e. Healthy Start	\$	1,488,260			\$	2,596,746			\$	4,341,901		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	98,525,299			\$	98,626,671			\$	96,727,564		
h. AIDS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
i. CDC	\$	6,409,726			\$	7,648,776			\$	7,589,462		
j. Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
k.Other			ı	ı			ı					
See note	\$	0			\$	0			\$	78,347,376		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 1	107,704,266			\$ 11	0,129,086			\$	189,122,412		

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The state includes payments to local health departments for Medicaid-covered services in this category. The state estimates that the proportion of these payments for all children is the same as the proportion of infants and children served in the local health departments (28% infants, 72% children). There was a significant increase in the total payments from Medicaid in FY03 for "child health" services. Applying the proportions above to the total increases yielded a net increase in expenditures for children, but a decrease in expenditures for infants, relative to the budget for them. Combining the categories, the budget was \$73,666,633 and expenditures were \$74,815,899.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_1\_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

The state includes payments to local health departments for Medicaid-covered services in this category. The state estimates that the proportion of these payments for all children is the same as the proportion of infants and children served in the local health departments (28% infants, 72% children). There was a significant increase in the total payments from Medicaid in FY03 for "child health" services. Applying the proportions above to the total increases yielded a net increase in expenditures for children, but a decrease in expenditures for infants, relative to the budget for them. Combining the categories, the budget was \$73,666,633 and expenditures were \$74,815,899.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures is 24%. The difference is due to a reduced receipt and expenditure of the WIC infant formula rebates for FY03. A portion of these expenditures is allocated to the CSHCN population, which lowered the overall expenditures in this category.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Expenditures in the "Other" category were less in FY 01-02 due to a difference in the allocation method for WIC program formula rebates expenditures.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended Year: 2003

Field Note:

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures is 39%. The difference is due to a reduced receipt and expenditure of the WIC infant formula rebates for FY03. A portion of these expenditures is allocated to the "Other" population, which lowered the overall expenditures in this category.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002

Expenditures in the "Administration" category were less in FY 01-02 due to the reduction of indirect cost rate from 21.4% to 16%. In addition, several positions were vacant for longer than anticipated. The lack of payment of salaries also contributed to the reduction of indirect cost expenditures.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Due to a change in the way the Maternal and Child Health Block Grant funds were budgeted in FY03, state funds that had been budgeted as administrative were rebudgeted in programmatic categories to achieve the required match. The programmatic areas as a result showed a slight increase in expenditures, while the administrative expenditures declined. In addition, the indirect cost rate for the division was reduced in FY03 leading to lower costs in that category.

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: NC

Type of Service	FY 2	2003	FY 2	2004	FY 2005		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$62,271,477	\$62,745,182	\$ 59,342,688	\$0	\$65,336,604	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$82,032,864	\$68,538,983	\$72,739,194	\$0	\$71,369,692	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$19,021,491	\$18,774,841	\$15,478,620	\$0	\$19,550,255	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$9,369,174	\$4,206,698	\$	\$0	\$4,380,437	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$172,695,006	\$ <u>154,265,704</u>	\$154,787,276	\$0	\$160,636,988	\$0	

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: NC

Type of Service	FY 2	2000	FY 2	2001	FY 2002		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 103,179,853	\$65,080,023	\$ 65,876,343	\$ 62,262,523	\$ 64,623,488	\$ 60,081,236	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$ 72,031,219	\$76,826,297	\$74,424,783	\$82,021,067	\$73,009,352	\$73,644,467	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$	\$17,276,913	\$19,050,656	\$19,018,756	\$18,688,346	\$15,671,258	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$11,680,738	\$8,345,936	\$8,845,140	\$9,367,827	\$8,676,920	\$7,316,715	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$194,678,969	\$167,529,169	\$168,196,922	\$172,670,173	\$164,998,106	\$156,713,676	

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures is 16%. The primary difference is due to a reduced receipt and expenditure of the WIC infant formula rebates for FY03. All of these expenditures are allocated to the "Enabling" category, which lowered the overall expenditures in this category. A secondary reason is that Medicaid payments to local health departments were less than budgeted. Approximately 35% of the Medicaid payments were for enabling services.

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Lower expenditures occurred in Population Based services and Infrastructure Building Services as a result of a general decrease in state appropriation expenditures in FY 01-02. The state experienced decrease revenues had a severe cash flow problems leading to hiring freezes and sharply curtailed expenditures for travel, supplies and equipment.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Lower expenditures occurred in Population Based services and Infrastructure Building Services as a result of a general decrease in state appropriation expenditures in FY 01-02. The state experienced decrease revenues had a severe cash flow problems leading to hiring freezes and sharply curtailed expenditures for travel, supplies and equipment.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures is 55%. The primary difference is due to changes in the way that the Maternal and Child Health Block Grant was budgeted and expended in FY03. State funds that had been included in this category in prior years were used to provide the match for Medicaid FFP receipts. This reduced their availability to be counted toward the State/Federal partnership expenditures, and this category was impacted more than others.

			FORM 6											
NUMBER AND PE	NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED													
	Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)  STATE: NC													
STATE: NO														
Total Births by Oc	Total Births by Occurrence: 118,178 Reporting Year: 2003													
J.														
Type of Screening Tests	(A Receiving at lea (1	st one Screen	(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed	(E Needing Tre Received Ti	atment that								
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%								
Phenylketonuria	116,976	99	11	8	8	100								
Congenital Hypothyroidism	116,976	99	357	46	46	100								
Galactosemia	116,976	99	7	4	4	100								
Sickle Cell Disease	116,976	99	109	109	109	100								
Other Screening	(Specify)													
Tyrosinemia	116,976	99	30	1	1	100								
Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH)	116,976	99	288	8	8	100								
Maple Syrup Urine Disease (MSUD)	116,976	99	1	1	1	100								
Other	116,976	99	23	14	14	100								
Medium Chain AcylCo-A Dehydrogenase (MCAD)	116,976	99	19	12	12	100								
Screening Progra			n (Specify Tests	by name)										
(1) Use occurrent to (2) Report only tho (3) Use number of	se from resident	births.												

Data is for CY02 (FY03).

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main
 Field Name: SickleCellDisease\_Confirmed
 Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Confirmed Cases Year: 2005

Field Note:

The number of confirmed cases is equal to the number of presumptive positive screens. The screening test is highly specific and sensitive, thus this is to be expected.

## Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: NC

Reporting Year: 2003

	TITLE V		PRIMAR	Y SOURCES OF COV	ERAGE	
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %
Pregnant Women	75,149	70.0	0.0	3.0	27.0	0.0
Infants < 1 year old	118,178	44.0	0.0	45.0	11.0	0.0
Children 1 to 22 years old	125,703	67.0	0.0	7.0	26.0	0.0
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	63,079	64.0	0.0	11.0	25.0	0.0
Others	139,919	34.0	0.0	10.0	56.0	0.0
TOTAL	522,028					

Data are a mix of service data for CY03 (majority) and FY02 (for CSHCN).

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

### FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [SEC. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: NC

Reporting Year: 2002

#### I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown			
DELIVERIES											
Total Deliveries in State	117,307	85,117	27,545	1,654	332	2,561	0	98			
Title V Served	77,417	56,173	18,178	1,092	219	1,690	0	65			
Eligible for Title XIX	51,615	37,451	12,120	728	146	1,127	0	43			
INFANTS											
Total Infants in State	117,307	85,117	27,545	1,654	332	2,561	0	98			
Title V Served	116,111	84,249	27,264	1,637	329	2,535	0	97			
Eligible for Title XIX	51,615	37,451	12,120	728	146	1,127	0	43			

#### II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)					
	( A ) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	( C ) Ethnicity Not Reported	( B.1 ) Mexican	( B.2 ) Cuban	( B.3 ) Puerto Rican	( B.4 ) Central and South American	( B.5 ) Other and Unknown	
DELIVERIES									
Total Deliveries in State	102,133	15,063	111	11,491	133	701	2,618	120	
Title V Served	67,403	9,941	73						
Eligible for Title XIX	38,233	13,382							
INFANTS									
Total Infants in State	102,133	15,063	111	11,491	133	701	2,618	120	
Title V Served	102,031	15,048							
Eligible for Title XIX	37,707	13,382							

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal\_More Row Name: Total Deliveries in State

Column Name: More Than One Race Reported

Field Note:

More than one race is not recorded by Vital Statistics.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV\_TotalHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Total Hispanic data is estimated based on percentage of population as a whole. Specific subcategories are not available.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX\_TotalHispanic Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Total Hispanic data is estimated based on percentage of population as a whole. Specific subcategories are not available.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleV\_TotalHispanic Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Total Hispanic data is estimated based on percentage of population as a whole. Specific subcategories are not available.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX\_TotalHispanic Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Total Hispanic data is estimated based on percentage of population as a whole. Specific subcategories are not available.

# FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: NC

	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002	FY 2001
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	1-800-FOR-BABY	800 FOR BABY 800-367-	(800) FOR-BABY(800) 36	(800) FOR-BABY(800) 36	(800) FOR-BABY(800) 36
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	NC Family Health Resource Line	NC Family Health and Child Care Resource Line	First Step Hotlineand Health CheckHotline	First Step Hotlineand Health CheckHotline	First Step Hotlineand Health CheckHotline
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Louis Cook	Alyson Peek	Betty Marrow-Taylor	Betty Marrow-Taylor	Betty Marrow-Taylor
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number	919-807-3007	919-807-3007	(919) 361-8494	(919) 361-8494	(919) 361-8494
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period			34,295	35,733	46,770

# FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: NC

	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002	FY 2001
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number					
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name					
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"					
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number					
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	0	0	0

None

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

Section Number: Main Field Name: hnumber\_2

Row Name: State MCH toll-free hotline telephone number

Column Name: FY Year: 2005 Field Note:

1-800-FOR-BABY translates to the following phone number: 1-800-367-2229.

The name of the line is the North Carolina Family Health and Child Care Resource Line. It replaced the First Step Hotline and the Health Check Hotline. Those line names are no longer used. The Health Check Hotline number - 1-800-474-9000 was discontinued and should no longer appear in any reports.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: calls\_2

Row Name: Number of calls received On the State MCH Hotline This reporting period

Column Name: FY Year: 2003 Field Note:

These 34,295 calls were calls answered by a Health Communication Specialist. There were actually 47,456 calls made and received at the Resource Line, including after

hours calls and hang-ups.

#### **FORM 10** TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT **STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2005** [SEC. 506(A)(1)]

STATE: NC

#### 1. State MCH Administration:

The Title V Maternal and Child Health Block Grant is administered by the NC Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Public Health, Women's and Children's Health Section. Programs and services within the Women's and Children's Health Section's administrative control include: Maternal Health Services, Women's Preventive Health Services (including the Title X program), Children's Preventive Health Services, Children's Specialized Health Services, Genetic Services, Immunization Services, and Nutrition Services (including the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children [WIC], Child and Adult Care Food Program, and Summer Food Service Program for Children).

Block Grant Funds		
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$	17,522,028
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$	0
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$	38,515,199
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$	0
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$	49,151,137
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$	55,448,624
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$	160,636,988
	_	
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)		
a. Pregnant Women		75,149
b. Infants < 1 year old		118,178
c. Children 1 to 22 years old		125,703
d. CSHCN		63,079
e. Others		139,919

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

(max 2500 characters)

The Child Service Coordination Program assures that children and their families have access to care coordination services. CSC services are an entitlement for children eligible under the Individuals with Disabilities Act. Child Service Coordinators work cooperatively with a wide range of health and social service providers across the state. Maternity Care Coordination is provided as part of the Baby Love Program. Baby Love is co-administered by the Women's and Children's Health Section, the Division of Medical Assistance and the Office of Rural Health and Resource Development, all within the Department of Health and Human Services.

#### b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

The Child Fatality Task Force is a legislative study commission established in 1991. The mission is to study the causes of child deaths and child abuse in the state. Staff are housed in the Women's and Children's Health Section. Task Force members represent advocacy groups, elected officials, governmental health and social services agencies, the General Assembly and the public. The Healthy Child North Carolina Campaign is a public education and public awareness program designed to enhance health and safety conditions for children in regulated child care settings. The initiative is sponsored by the Women's and Children's Health Section, the North Carolina Pediatric Society, and the Division of Child Development.

#### c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

The Minority Infant Mortality Reduction Initiative funds community-based infant mortality reduction projects are funded with the express purpose of reducing racial disparities in infant mortality. In 1992, the state legislature authorized the Section to oversee funding of Comprehensive Adolescent Health Care Projects. These are community-based projects to increase access to comprehensive health care services for youth ages 10 to 19 within school-based and school-linked centers.

12. The primary Title V Program contact person:

13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:

Carol Tant, MPH	Name	Kevin Ryan, MD, MPH	Name
Head, Children and Youth Branch	Title	Section Chief	Title
NC Dept of Health and Human ServicesWomen's and C	Address	NC Dept of Health and Human ServicesWomen's and C	Address
Raleigh	City	Raleigh	City
NC	State	NC	State
27699-1928	Zip	27699-1916	Zip

		Phone	(919) 715-3808
Fax	(919) 715-3925	Fax	(919) 715-3187
Email	kevin.ryan@ncmail.net	Email	carol.tant@ncmail.net
Web		Web	

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

### **FORM 11** TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [Secs 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: NC

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 0:	NICE MEASURE	= # N1
--------------------------	--------------	--------

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobi

		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
•	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective					10
Annual Indicator	100	100	100	100	10
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	manco Data	
:	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	10
Annual Indicator Numerator		e Objectives for the	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators

PERFORMANCE MEASURE
---------------------

		Annual O	bjective and Perfori	nance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective					75
Annual Indicator				65.3	65.3
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual O	bjective and Perfori	mance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	75	75	75	75	75

**Annual Indicator** 

Numerator
Numerator
Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03					
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate	ed, ongoing, compre	hensive care within a i	medical home. (CSHC	CN Survey)
			Objective and Perform		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective					7
Annual Indicator				55.6	55.
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perform	rmance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	75	75	75	75	7
Numerator	not required for futi		above years. Numera	, 20	,
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	·		or public insurance to	pay for the services the	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	·	dequate private and/	·		hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	·	dequate private and/	or public insurance to  Objective and Perfore		ney need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)	ose families have ad	dequate private and/ Annual 2000	Objective and Perform	rmance Data	, ,
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ad	dequate private and/ Annual 2000	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	2003
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have ad	dequate private and/ Annual 2000	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2002	2003
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have ad	dequate private and/ Annual 2000	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2002	2003
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ad	dequate private and/ Annual 2000	Objective and Perfor	7mance Data 2002 57.3	2003 8 57.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have ad	dequate private and/ Annual 2000	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2002	2003
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ad	dequate private and/ Annual 2000	Objective and Perfor	7mance Data 2002 57.3 Final	2003 8 57.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ad	dequate private and/ Annual 2000	Objective and Perfor	7mance Data 2002 57.3 Final	2003 8 57.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ad	dequate private and/ Annual 2000 Annual	Objective and Perform 2001  Compared to the co	7mance Data 2002 57.3 Final	2003 57.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2004  Please fill in only the	Annual 2000 Annual 2000 Annual 2005	Objective and Performance  Objective and Performance  Objective and Performance	Final  Final  2007  80	2003 57. Final 2008

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05						
Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose Survey)	families report the co	ommunity-base	ed service systems are orga	nized so they can use	e them easily. (C	SHCN
		<u>Anı</u>	nual Objective and Perfo	rmance Data		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Performance Objective						90
Annual Indicator				80.6	8	80.6
Numerator						
Denominator		-				
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	
			nual Objective and Perfo			
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	00
Annual Performance Objective	90		90 90	90		90
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the	e Objectives fo	or the above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicator	rs are
			•			
Denominator	not required for futu	re year data.	•			
Denominator	not required for futu	re year data.				
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	· 		ansition to all aspects of ad	ult life (CSHCN Surve	2V)	
Denominator	· 	ary to make tra	·	•	ey)	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	· 	ary to make tra	ansition to all aspects of ad nual Objective and Perfo 2001	•	ey) 2003	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	the services necess	ary to make tra Ani 2000	nual Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data	• /	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	the services necess	ary to make tra Ani 2000	nual Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data	2003	5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	the services necess	ary to make tra Ani 2000	nual Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data 2002	2003	5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	the services necess	ary to make tra Ani 2000	nual Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data 2002	2003	5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess	ary to make tra Ani 2000	nual Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data 2002	2003	5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	the services necess	ary to make tra Ani 2000	nual Objective and Perfo 2001	2002 5.8	2003	5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess	ary to make tra Ani 2000	nual Objective and Perfo 2001	mance Data 2002 5.8 Final	2003	5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess	ary to make tra Ani 2000	nual Objective and Perfo 2001	mance Data 2002 5.8 Final	2003	5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess	ary to make tra Ani 2000	nual Objective and Perfo  2001	rmance Data 2002 5.8 Final	2003 Final	5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	the services necess 1999 2004	Ann 2005	nual Objective and Perfo  2001	Final  Final  2007	2003 Final	

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07								
ercent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of age laemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	e appropriate immur	nizations a	against Mea	sles, Mumps, Rubella	a, Polio, Dip	ohtheria, Te	etanus, Pe	ertussis,
aomophilaeaa., aa			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Da	ta		
	1999	2000	7 timudi C	2001	2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective	90		90	90		90		90
Annual Indicator			80.6	80.6		80.7		85.0
Numerator								
Denominator								
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2004	2005		2006	2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective	90		90	90		90		9
Annual Indicator					_			
		ne Objecti	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual In	dicators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objecti ure year d	ves for the a lata.	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual In	dicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objecti <sup>,</sup> ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual In	dicators
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objecti ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual In	dicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objecti ure year d	ata.				Annual In	dicators
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year d	ata.	Objective and Perfor	rmance Da			dicators
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	Please fill in only the not required for fut.	ne Objectivure year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor		<u>ta</u>	Annual In	
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  1999 43	ure year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	rmance Da	<u>ta</u>		3
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  1999 43 39.1	ure year d	Annual C 39 37.2	2001 38.5 32.7	rmance Da	32 30.4		3 28.
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  1999 43 39.1 5,480	ure year d	Annual C 39 37.2 5,275	2001 38.5 32.7 5,080	rmance Da	32 30.4 4,726		3 28. 4,58
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 43 39.1 5,480 140,192	ure year d	Annual C 39 37.2	2001 38.5 32.7	mance Da 2002	32 30.4	2003	3 28. 4,58
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 43 39.1 5,480 140,192	ure year d	Annual C 39 37.2 5,275	2001 38.5 32.7 5,080	rmance Da	32 30.4 4,726		3. 28. 4,58. 160,41.
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 43 39.1 5,480 140,192	ure year d	Annual C  39  37.2  5,275  141,681	2001 38.5 32.7 5,080 155,309	rmance Da 2002 Final	32 30.4 4,726 155,551	2003	3 28. 4,58
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 43 39.1 5,480	2000	Annual C  39  37.2  5,275  141,681	2001  38.5  32.7  5,080  155,309  Dbjective and Performance an	rmance Da 2002 Final	32 30.4 4,726 155,551	2003 Final	3 28. 4,58
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 43 39.1 5,480 140,192	ure year d	Annual C  39  37.2  5,275  141,681	2001 38.5 32.7 5,080 155,309	rmance Da 2002 Final	32 30.4 4,726 155,551	2003	3 28. 4,58
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective	1999 43 39.1 5,480 140,192	2000	Annual C 39 37.2 5,275 141,681	2001  38.5  32.7  5,080  155,309  Objective and Performance 2006	rmance Da 2002 Final	32 30.4 4,726 155,551	2003 Final	3 28. 4,58 160,41
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 the rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 43 39.1 5,480 140,192 2004 30	2000 2005	Annual C 39 37.2 5,275 141,681  Annual C	2001  38.5  32.7  5,080  155,309  Objective and Performance 2006	Final  2007	32 30.4 4,726 155,551 ta	2003 Final	28 4,58 160,41

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09					
ercent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one per	manent molar too	h.		
			al Objective and Peri		
Annual Berfermanae Ohioetiva	1999	2000	<b>2001</b> 50 50	<b>2002</b> 50	2003
Annual Performance Objective					
	31.4	· ·	37.0		
Numerator				32,668	
	80,615	84,8	92 82,710		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	
		Annı	ual Objective and Perf	formance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	50		50 50	50	5
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives for ure year data.	he above years. Nume	rator, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.		rator, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut	es per 100,000 ch			d Annual Indicators
Numerator  Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	es per 100,000 ch	ildren. ual Objective and Peri 2001	formance Data 2002	2003
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	es per 100,000 ch Annu 2000	ildren. ual Objective and Peri 2001	ormance Data	2003
Numerator  Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	es per 100,000 ch Annu 2000	ildren. ual Objective and Peri 2001	formance Data 2002 3 5.5	2003
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	es per 100,000 ch 2000	ildren. <b>Ial Objective and Peri 2001</b>	Formance Data 2002 3 5.5	<b>2003</b>
Numerator  Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by a company of the company of	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash  1999  4.71  6.4	es per 100,000 ch Annu 2000	ildren.  2001  3.5  9.9  77  98	formance Data 2002 3 5.5 9 5.6 9 94	2003
Numerator  Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by a company of the company of	motor vehicle crash  1999  4.71  6.4  99  1,543,928	es per 100,000 ch Annu 2000	ildren.  2001  3.5  9.9  77  98	formance Data 2002 3 5.5 9 5.6 9 94	2003
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	motor vehicle crash  1999  4.71  6.4  99  1,543,928	es per 100,000 ch  2000  1,560,5	ildren.  2001 3.5 5.9 77 99 34 1,671,41	formance Data 2002 3 5.5 9 5.6 9 94 1 1,682,289 Final	2003 5 9 1,706,58
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	motor vehicle crash  1999  4.71  6.4  99  1,543,928	es per 100,000 ch  2000  1,560,5	ildren.  2001  3.5  9.9  77  98	formance Data 2002 3 5.5 9 5.6 9 94 1 1,682,289 Final	2003 5 9 1,706,58
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut motor vehicle crash 1999 4.71 6.4 99 1,543,928	es per 100,000 ch  2000  1,560,5	ildren.  2001  3.5  3.9  3.4  1,671,41  2006	Formance Data 2002 3 5.5 9 5.6 9 94 1 1,682,289 Final Formance Data 2007	2003 5 1,706,58 Final
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  he rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 15 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 15 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 15 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 15 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 15 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 16 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 16 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 17 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the state of dea	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut motor vehicle crash 1999 4.71 6.4 99 1,543,928 2004 5	es per 100,000 ch  2000  1,560,5	ildren.  Ial Objective and Peri 2001  3.5  3.9  5.9  77  99  34  1,671,41  Ial Objective and Peri 2006  5  4.5	Formance Data 2002 3 5.5 9 5.6 9 94 1 1,682,289 Final Formance Data 2007	2003 5 1,706,58 Final 2008

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital dischar	rge.				
			I Objective and Perfor		
AI.P. of Olivetin	1999	<b>2000</b> 75	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective				75	7
	41.2			46.3	46
	29,908	31,566	<u> </u>	34,656	34,59
	72,633	73,456	75,384	74,811	74,04
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annua	I Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	75	75	5 75	75	
Annual Indicator	_ <del></del>	·			
	Diaman fill in and the	ne Objectives for the	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure yéar data.	e above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure yéar data.			Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. Annua	I Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data	
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before leading to the screened for hearing to	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge.  1999	ure year data.  Annua 2000	I Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data 2002	2003
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before to the Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge.  1999	Annua 2000 73.0	Objective and Perfor   2001   85   86.5	mance Data 2002 95	2003
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	hospital discharge.  1999  32  32.0	Annua 2000 75 73.0 85,408	Objective and Perfor 2001   85   86.5   101,937	mance Data 2002 95 99.3	<b>2003</b>
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	hospital discharge.  1999  32  32.0  35,200  110,000	Annua 2000 75 73.0 85,408	Objective and Perfor 2001   85   86.5   101,937	95 99.3 117,288	2003 99 117,03
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	hospital discharge.  1999  32  32.0  35,200  110,000	Annua 2000 75 73.0 85,408 116,997	1 Objective and Perfor 2001  5 85  0 86.5  101,937  7 117,885	95 99.3 117,288 118,115 Final	2003 99 117,03 117,75
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	hospital discharge.  1999  32  32.0  35,200  110,000	Annua 2000 75 73.0 85,408 116,997	Objective and Perfor 2001   85   86.5   101,937	95 99.3 117,288 118,115 Final	2003 99 117,03 117,75 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future to the not req	Annua 2000 75 73.0 85,408 116,997	Objective and Perfor   2001	95 99.3 117,288 118,115 Final	2003 99 117,03 117,75
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future to the not req	Annua 2000 75 73.0 85,408 116,997 Annua 2005	Objective and Perfor   2001	95 99.3 117,288 118,115 Final mance Data 2007 99	2003 99 117,03 117,75 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future to the not req	Annua 2000 75 73.0 85,408 116,997  Annua 2005	Objective and Perfor   2001	95 99.3 117,288 118,115 Final mance Data 2007 99	2003 99 117,03 117,78 Final

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13					
ercent of children without health insurance.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective		11	10	10	
	11.5		11	11.1	12.
Numerator	225,969	205,494		242,660	267,02
Denominator	1,958,061	1,977,723		2,181,520	2,177,89
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		A	Objective and Bester		
	2004	2005	Objective and Perfor 2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	9	8	8	8	
·					
Annual Indicator					
Annual Indicator	Diagona fill in anhy th	he Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	he Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.	·		Annual Indicators 2003
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut service paid by the 1	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2003
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second control of the co	Please fill in only the not required for future paid by the large	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual  2000	Objective and Perfor 2001	rmance Data 2002	2003
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential sec	Please fill in only the not required for future paid by the large	Medicaid Program.  Annual 2000  96  99.2	Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data 2002 98	<b>2003</b> 9 86.
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a s  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  service paid by the I  1999  94  98.3  667,573	we year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual  2000  96  99.2  695,956	Objective and Perfor 2001 97 96.1 726,979	mance Data 2002 98 86.1	2003 9 86. 727,65
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a s  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fute service paid by the laservice paid by the	we year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual  2000  96  99.2  695,956	Objective and Perfor 2001 97 96.1 726,979	98 86.1 688,080	
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a s  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fute service paid by the laservice paid by the	we year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual  2000  96  99.2  695,956  701,891	Objective and Perfor 2001 97 96.1 726,979 756,858	98 86.1 688,080 799,360 Final	2003 9 86. 727,65 837,94
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a s  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fution for required for futions are required for futions are required for futions are required for futions and for some form of the following service paid by the form of the fution for futions are required	we year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual  2000  96  99.2  695,956  701,891  Annual	Objective and Perfor 2001 97 96.1 726,979 756,858	98 86.1 688,080 799,360 Final	2003 9 86. 727,65 837,94 Final
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a s  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  service paid by the I  1999  94  98.3  667,573  679,006	we year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual  2000  96  99.2  695,956  701,891	Objective and Perfor 2001 97 96.1 726,979 756,858	98 86.1 688,080 799,360 Final	2003 9 86. 727,65 837,94 Final
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a s  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  1999 94 98.3 667,573 679,006	we year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual  2000  96  99.2  695,956  701,891  Annual  2005  90	Objective and Perfor 2001  97  96.1  726,979  756,858  Objective and Perfor 2006  90	98 86.1 688,080 799,360 Final Final 90	2003 9 86. 727,65 837,94 Final
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service of potentially denoted by the Annual Indicator of Potential Performance Objective Annual Indicator Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  1999 94 98.3 667,573 679,006	we year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual 2000  96  99.2  695,956  701,891  Annual 2005  90	Objective and Perfor 2001  97  96.1  726,979  756,858  Objective and Perfor 2006	98 86.1 688,080 799,360 Final Final 90	2003 86. 727,65 837,94 Final

1
1
1
1
1
2,17
117,30
1
ndicators
ulcaluis
5
5
3
3
3
3
3
3

rcent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-	isk deliveries and n	eonates.						
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor		<u>ıta</u>		
	1999	2000		2001	2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective			82	82		82		83
Annual Indicator	80.2		79.8	81.8		79.6		78.
Numerator	1,430		1,532	1,600		1,562		1,44
Denominator	1,782		1,920	1,956		1,963		1,85
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Da	ıta		
	2004	2005		2006	2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective	83		83	83		83		8
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year d	lata.	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
Denominator  REFORMANCE MEASURE # 18  recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	not required for fut	ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annuai in	dicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	not required for fut	ure year d	ata.	Dbjective and Perfor	mance Da			dicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	not required for fut ginning in the first tr	ure year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor		ıta	2003	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective	not required for fut ginning in the first tr	imester.	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2001 86	mance Da	1 <b>ta</b>		8
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective	ginning in the first tr	imester.	Annual C 85 84.5	Dbjective and Perfor 2001 86 84.0	mance Da	86 83.9		83.
Annual Indicator  Numerator	ginning in the first tr	imester.	Annual C 85 84.5 96,079	Objective and Perfor 2001 86	mance Da	1 <b>ta</b>		83.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	ginning in the first tr	imester.	Annual C 85 84.5	Dbjective and Perfor 2001 86 84.0	mance Da	86 83.9		83. 98,22
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	ginning in the first tr 1999  84  84.1  93,837	imester.	Annual C 85 84.5 96,079	2001 86 84.0 100,988	mance Da	86 83.9 99,092		8 83. 98,22 117,30
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	not required for fut ginning in the first tr 1999  84  84.1  93,837  111,631	imester.	85 84.5 96,079 113,755	2001 86 84.0 100,988	Final	86 83.9 99,092 118,112	2003 Final	83. 98,22
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	ginning in the first tr 1999  84  84.1  93,837  111,631	imester.	85 84.5 96,079 113,755	2001  86  84.0  100,988  120,247	mance Da 2002 Final	86 83.9 99,092 118,112	2003	8 83. 98,22

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1								
Number of children affected in substantiated reports of abuse and/or r	neglect as compare	d with prev	ious years.					
	1999	2000	Annual C	Objective and Pe 2001	formance I 2002	<u>Data</u>	2003	
Annual Performance Objective		2000		30,00		30,000	2003	30,000
	32,115		31.828	32,58	 31	32,883		30,016
					_			
Denominator					_			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		-			Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective and Pe	formance [	<u>Data</u>		
	2004	2005		2006	2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective	30,000		30,000	30,00	00	30,000		30,00
Annual Indicator								
	Dloogo fill in only th	ha Obiaatis	upo for the c	hovo voora Num	orator Dana	minator and	Annual In	diantoro
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv ture year da	ves for the a ata.	above years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual In	dicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for fut	he Objectiv ture year da	ves for the a ata.	above years. Num	erator, Denc	minator and	Annual In	ndicators
Denominator	not required for fut	he Objectiv ture year da	ves for the a ata.	above years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual In	ndicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	not required for fut	ture year da	ata.	above years. Num	erator, Denc	minator and	Annual In	ndicators :
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	not required for fut	ture year da	ata. IL) of lead.	above years. Num			Annual In	dicators a
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than	not required for fut n or equal to 10 mic	ture year da	ata. L) of lead.	Objective and Pe	formance I 2002	Data Data	Annual In	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	not required for fut n or equal to 10 mic	ture year da	ata. IL) of lead.	Objective and Pe	formance I			
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than	not required for fut n or equal to 10 mic 1999	crograms/dl	ata. L) of lead.	Objective and Pe 2001	formance I 2002	Data Data		0.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than	not required for fut n or equal to 10 mic 1999 0.8 3.6	crograms/dl	ata.  L) of lead.  Annual C	Objective and Pe 2001	formance I 2002 8	<b>Data</b>		0. 1.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for fut n or equal to 10 mic 1999 0.8 3.6 3,429	crograms/dl	ata.  IL) of lead.  Annual C  0.8  2.5	Dbjective and Pe 2001	formance I 2002 8 4	0.8 1.7		0. 1. 2,11
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for fut n or equal to 10 mic 1999 0.8 3.6 3,429 95,438	crograms/dl	0.8 2.5 2,686	Dbjective and Pe  2001  0  2,76	formance I 2002 8 4	0.8 1.7 2,016		0.3 1. 2,110
ETATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut n or equal to 10 mic 1999 0.8 3.6 3,429 95,438	crograms/dl	0.8 2.5 2,686 105,845	2001 0 2,73 115,83	6 Final	0.8 1.7 2,016 119,975	2003	0. 1. 2,11
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut n or equal to 10 mic 1999 0.8 3.6 3,429 95,438	crograms/dl	0.8 2.5 2,686 105,845	Objective and Pe  2001  2  2,76  115,89	Formance I 2002  8 4 11 6 Final	0.8 1.7 2,016 119,975	2003 Final	0.3 1. 2,110
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for fut n or equal to 10 mic 1999 0.8 3.6 3,429 95,438	crograms/dl	0.8 2.5 2,686 105,845	2001  2 2,73  115,83  Objective and Pe 2006	6 Final	0.8 1.7 2,016 119,975	2003	0.6 1. <sup>-</sup> 2,110 120,960
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for fut n or equal to 10 mic 1999 0.8 3.6 3,429 95,438	crograms/dl	0.8 2.5 2,686 105,845	2001  2 2,73  115,83  Objective and Pe 2006	Final 2007	0.8 1.7 2,016 119,975	2003 Final	0.4 1.7 2,111 120,960
Annual Performance Objective  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator	not required for fut n or equal to 10 mic 1999 0.8 3.6 3,429 95,438	2000	1L) of lead.  Annual C  2.5  2,686  105,845  Annual C  0.8	2001  2 2,77  115,88  2 Dbjective and Pe 2006	Final 2007 8	0.8 1.7 2,016 119,975	2003 Final	0. 1. 2,11 120,96

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5							
Percentage of women who gained > 15 pounds during pregnancy.							
	1999	_	Annual C	Objective and Perfor		2002	
Annual Performance Objective		2000	89	<b>2001</b> 90	<b>2002</b> 90.5	2003	91
•			89.6	84.6	89.3		89.3
Annual Indicator		10					
Numerator			01,927	101,735	105,423		104,742
	105,768	11	13,755	120,247	118,112 Final	Final	117,307
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					rinai	rinai	
		Δ	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2004	2005		2006	2007	2008	
Annual Performance Objective	91.5		92	92	92		9
Annual Indicator							
Numerator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives	for the a	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Denominator	not required for full	ure year data.					
Donominator							
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6							
	ined as a body mas	s index (BMI)	greater	than or equal to the 9	5th percentile for ger	nder and ag	je.
	ined as a body mas	` '	•	than or equal to the 9  Objective and Perfor		nder and ag	ge.
	ined as a body mas:	` '	•	•		oder and ag	ge.
	1999	<u> </u>	•	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
Percent of children 5-18 who are obese. Obesity is conservatively det  Annual Performance Objective	1999	<u> </u>	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data 2002		1:
Percent of children 5-18 who are obese. Obesity is conservatively det  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	1999	<u> </u>	15	Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data 2002		23.
Percent of children 5-18 who are obese. Obesity is conservatively det  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	1999 15 17.7 3,856	2000	15 19.2	2001 15 22.4	2002 15		23. 5,21
Percent of children 5-18 who are obese. Obesity is conservatively del Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 15 17.7 3,856 21,752	2000	15 19.2 4,171	2001 15 22.4 5,362	2002 15 22.2 5,234		ge. 18 23.0 5,219 22,688
Percent of children 5-18 who are obese. Obesity is conservatively def Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 15 17.7 3,856 21,752	2000	15 19.2 4,171	2001 15 22.4 5,362	2002 15 22.2 5,234 23,622	2003	23. 5,21
Percent of children 5-18 who are obese. Obesity is conservatively del Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 15 17.7 3,856 21,752	2000	15 19.2 4,171 21,773	2001  15  22.4  5,362  23,890  Objective and Perfor	15 22.2 5,234 23,622 Final	2003 Final	23.0 5,219
Percent of children 5-18 who are obese. Obesity is conservatively det  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 15 17.7 3,856 21,752	2000	15 19.2 4,171 21,773	2001  15  22.4  5,362  23,890  Objective and Perfor	15 22.2 5,234 23,622 Final mance Data 2007	2003	23.0 5,219 22,686
Percent of children 5-18 who are obese. Obesity is conservatively detective  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 15 17.7 3,856 21,752 2004	2000	15 19.2 4,171 21,773	2001  15  22.4  5,362  23,890  Objective and Perfor	15 22.2 5,234 23,622 Final	2003 Final	23. 5,21
Percent of children 5-18 who are obese. Obesity is conservatively det  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 15 17.7 3,856 21,752 2004 15	2000  2000  2005  De Objectives	15 19.2 4,171 21,773 Annual C	2001  15  22.4  5,362  23,890  Objective and Perfor	15 22.2 5,234 23,622 Final mance Data 2007	2003 Final	1 23. 5,21 22,68

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASUR	RE # 8					
Percent of adolescents in public sch	hools with access to services of a so	chool-based or scho	ool-linked health car	e center.		
				Objective and Perfor		
		1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
	Annual Performance Objective		2.9	9	9	1
		7.9			9.6	6.
	•	97,911		63,972	63,371	47,56
	Denominator	1,246,062	642,172	660,055	662,000	699,43
l:	Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
			Annual	Objective and Perfor		
		2004	2005	Objective and Perfor 2006	mance Data 2007	2008
	Annual Performance Objective		10		10	1
	Annual Indicator					
		Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
STATE BEDEODMANCE MEASUR	Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Numerator Denominator  RE # 9 during pregnancy.	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numerate  Objective and Perfor 2001		Annual Indicators 2003
	Numerator Denominator  RE # 9 during pregnancy.	not required for future	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data	
	Numerator Denominator  RE # 9 during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective	1999 14.5	Annual 2000	Objective and Perfor 2001	rmance Data 2002	2003
	Numerator Denominator  RE # 9 during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future	Annual 2000	Objective and Perfor 2001 14	mance Data 2002 13.5	2003
	Numerator Denominator  RE # 9 during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 14.5	Annual 2000 14.0 16,225	Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data 2002 13.5 14.0	2003 1 13.
The percent of women who smoke o	Numerator Denominator  RE # 9 during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 14.5 14.9	Annual 2000 14.0 16,225	Objective and Perfor 2001 14 14.0 16,786	2002 13.5 14.0 16,479	2003 1 1315,44
The percent of women who smoke o	Numerator Denominator  RE # 9 during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 14.5 14.9	Annual 2000 14.0 16,225	Objective and Perfor 2001 14 14.0 16,786	13.5 14.0 16,479	2003 13. 15,44 117,30
The percent of women who smoke o	Numerator Denominator  RE # 9 during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 14.5 14.9 16,584 111,631	Annual 2000 14.0 16,225 113,755	Objective and Perfor 2001	13.5 14.0 16,479 118,112 Final	2003 13 15,44 117,30 Final
The percent of women who smoke o	Numerator Denominator  RE # 9 during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 14.5 14.9 16,584 111,631	Annual 2000 14.0 14.3 16,225 113,755 Annual 2005	Objective and Perfor 2001  14  14.0  16,786  120,247  Objective and Perfor 2006	13.5 14.0 16,479 118,112 Final	2003 13. 15,44 117,30 Final
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASUR The percent of women who smoke o	Numerator Denominator  RE # 9 during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 14.5 14.9 16,584 111,631	Annual 2000 14.0 16,225 113,755	Objective and Perfor 2001  14  14.0  16,786  120,247  Objective and Perfor 2006	13.5 14.0 16,479 118,112 Final	2003 13 15,44 117,30 Final
The percent of women who smoke o	Numerator Denominator  RE # 9 during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 14.5 14.9 16,584 111,631	Annual 2000 14.0 14.3 16,225 113,755 Annual 2005 13	Objective and Perfor 2001  14  14.0  16,786  120,247  Objective and Perfor 2006	13.5 14.0 16,479 118,112 Final	2003 13 15,44 117,30 Final

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11								
The percent of women responding to the Pregnancy Risk Assessment future.	Monitoring System	(PRAMS) surv	vey that they w	anted to be p	regnant late	er or not the	en or at any	time in th
		<u>Ar</u>	nual Objectiv	e and Perfor	mance Dat	<u>:a</u>		
	1999	2000	2001		2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective				40		40		40
Annual Indicator	47.1		41.9	45.3		42.6		
Numerator								
Denominator					'			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final			
		Δr	nual Objectiv	e and Perfor	mance Dat	a		
	2004	2005	2006	<u> </u>	2007	<u></u>	2008	
Annual Performance Objective	40		40	39		39		38
Annual Indicator								
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fute	e Objectives foure year data.	or the above ye	ears. Numera	tor, Denomi	nator and	Annual Indi	cators are
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for future.	e Objectives foure year data.	or the above ye	ears. Numera	tor, Denomi	nator and	Annual Indi	cators are
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.					Annual Indi	cators are
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for fut	ure year data. <u>Ar</u>	nnual Objectiv		mance Dat			cators are
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Percent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.	not required for fut	ure year data. Ar 2000					Annual Indi	cators are
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective	not required for futi	ure year data. Ar 2000	nnual Objectiv 2001	e and Perfor	mance Dat	<b>a</b> 50		
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Percent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	1999	Ar 2000	2001 46.9		mance Dat	<u>a</u>		
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999	ure year data. Ar 2000	2001 46.9	e and Perfor	mance Dat	<b>a</b> 50		
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999	Ar 2000	2001 46.9	e and Perfor	mance Dat	<b>a</b> 50		
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999	Ar 2000	2001 46.9	e and Perfor	mance Dat	<b>a</b> 50		
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Percent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999	Ar 2000	2001 46.9	e and Perfor	mance Dat 2002 Final	50 42.2		
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999	Ar 2000	100 Appendix 100 A	e and Perfor	mance Dat 2002 Final	50 42.2		
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Percent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999	Ar 2000 Ar	annual Objectiv 2001 46.9	e and Perfor	rmance Date 2002 Final	50 42.2	2003	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999	2000 Arr 2005	annual Objective 2001 46.9  annual Objective 2006 50	e and Perfor  49  e and Perfor	Final 2007	50 42.2 <b>a</b>	2003	50

·	on.					
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	1999	2000		2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective				1:2100	1:2000	1:190
Annual Indicator	1:2480		1:2198	1:2075	2,047.0	1,918.
Numerator					1,271,995	1,279,76
Denominator	·				621.4	667.
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	Final
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2004	2005		2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	1:1700		1:1500	1,300	1,200	1,20

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Appropriate follow-up as defined by NC is provided to all newborns who are screened and confirmed with conditions mandated through the NC Metabolic Screening Program.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Section Number: Field Name: PM05
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2005
Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

FY2003 data are from the National Immunization Survey. As this is a weighted estimate, data for the numerator and denominator are omitted.

8. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

School year data was not collected in FY03 on the proportion of children who have dental sealants because of budget restraints, but should be available for FY04. As part of state supported sealant promotion projects in cooperation with volunteer private practitioners, the Oral Health Section provided 20,272 sealants for 5,364 children

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Unable to determine if breastfed infants are "exclusively" breastfed.

Data from WIC program.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

FY03 data are actually from the previous calendar (CY02). These numbers are provided by the State Laboratory and the denominator for resident live births differs somewhat from the denominator used in outcome measures and other performance measures.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note: FY03 Data Source: Urban Institute and Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured estimates based on pooled March 2002 and 2003 Current Population Surveys for children <18.

#### 12. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The methodology for determining data for this measure changed starting with FY02 data. In years past, any claim was counted, but beginning with FY02 data, claims which did not include provider contact were eliminated, thus the percentage decreased quite a bit from previous years.

#### 13. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Manual indicator (count) is used in this state performance measure.

#### 14. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

North Carolina-Nutrition and Physical Activity Surveillance System (NC-NPASS) includes data on children seen in North Carolina Public Health Sponsored WIC and Child Health Clinics and some School Based Health Centers.

#### 15. Section Number: State Performance Measure #11

Field Name: SM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

FY01 data (actually CY00 survey data) was replaced with weighted data in spring 2004. FY03 data have not yet been released from the CDC.

#### 16. Section Number: State Performance Measure #12

Field Name: SM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

2003 data are not available as the folic acid module was not included in the state's BRFSS for this year. Data should be available for 2004.

#### 17. Section Number: State Performance Measure #13

Field Name: SM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

As ratios are not allowed in state performance measures at this point, the actual indicator is not going to be very meaningful for this measure, but one needs to look at the numerator (number of public school students in NC) and denominator (number of er school health nurse FTEs) to get the 1:XXX ratio. The goal is to have one nurse per 750 students, but NC is still a long way from accomplishing that goal at 1:1918.

The indicators for 2006, 2007, and 2008 just list the number of students per school health nurse as a ratio isn't allowed.

# FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [Secs 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: NC

	0.7.1.2	. 110			
OUTCOME MEASURE # 01					
The infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
		Annua	al Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective	8.6	8.	6 8.6	8.6	8
Annual Indicator	9.1	8.	6 8.5	8.2	
Numerator	1,034	1,03	4 1,005	957	
	113,755			117,307	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	
		Annua	al Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	8.6	8.	6		
Annual Indicator					
	DI (111 1 1)	he Objectives for th	e above years. Numera	ator Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for fut	ure voor dete		,	
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year data.			
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02			al Objective and Perfe	vrmance Data	
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02	rate.	Annu	al Objective and Perfo		2003
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  the ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality rate.	rate.	<u>Annua</u> 2000	2001	2002	2003
PUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant	rate. 1999	<u>Annua</u> 2000	<b>2001</b> 2	2002	<b>2003</b>
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 the ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	rate. 1999 2 2.3	2000 2.	2001 2 2 5 2.6	2002	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  the ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	rate.  1999  2  2.3  15.6	2000 2. 15.	2001 2 2 5 2.6 6 15.8	2002 2 2.5 14.9	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2 2.3 15.6 6.8	2000 2. 15.	2001 2 2 5 2.6 6 15.8	2002 2.5 14.9 5.9	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  the ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2 2.3 15.6 6.8	2000 2. 15.	2001 2 2 5 2.6 6 15.8	2002 2 2.5 14.9	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  the ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant	2 2.3 15.6 6.8	2000 2. 15. 6.	2001 2 2 5 2.6 6 15.8 3 6.1	2002 2.5 14.9 5.9	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant	2 2.3 15.6 6.8	2000 2. 15. 6.	2001 2 2 5 2.6 6 15.8	2002 2.5 14.9 5.9	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2 2.3 15.6 6.8	2000 2. 15. 6. Annua 2005	2001 2 2 5 2.6 6 15.8 3 6.1 al Objective and Perfo	2002  2.5  14.9  5.9  Final	1
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2 2.3 15.6 6.8 2004 1.9	2000  2.  15.  6.  Annua  2005	2001 2 2 5 2.6 6 15.8 3 6.1 al Objective and Performance 2006 9	2002  2.5  14.9  5.9  Final  Trmance Data 2007	2008
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2 2.3 15.6 6.8 2004 1.9	2000  2. 15. 6.  Annua 2005 1. the Objectives for the	2001 2 2 5 2.6 6 15.8 3 6.1 al Objective and Perfo	2002  2.5  14.9  5.9  Final  Trmance Data 2007	2008

The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.								
,		An	nual Ob	jective and Perfor	mance Data	,		
	1999	2000		2001	2002	<b>=</b>	2003	
Annual Performance Objective	6		6	6		6		5.9
Annual Indicator	6.7		6.2	6.0		5.6		
	764		746	705		659		
	113,755		),247	118,112	1	17,307		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				<u> </u>	Final			_
		<u>An</u>	nual Ob	jective and Perfor	mance Data	1		
	2004	2005	;	2006	2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective	5.9		5.9					_
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in only th	a Objectives fo		ava vaara Numarat	or Donomin		Annual Indiaat	
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	or the abo	ove years. Numerat	tor, Denomin	nator and	Annual Indicat	ors
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives foure year data.	or the abo	ove years. Numerat	tor, Denomin	nator and	Annual Indicat	ors
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futured for fill in only the notion of the fill in only the normal fill in only the n	An	nual Ob	jective and Perfor	mance Data			tors
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04  Deposition of the per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	<u>An</u> 2000	nual Ob	ejective and Perfor 2001		1	Annual Indicat	
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	<u>An</u> 2000	2.7	jective and Perfor 2001 2.6	mance Data	<b>a</b> 2.6		
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	2000	2.7 2.4	ejective and Perfor 2001 2.6 2.6	mance Data	2.6 2.5		
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	2000	2.7	jective and Perfor 2001 2.6	mance Data	<b>a</b> 2.6		
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	2000	2.7 2.4	ejective and Perfor 2001 2.6 2.6	mance Data 2002	2.6 2.5		
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	1999  2.7  2.4  270  113,755	2000	2.7 2.4 288	2.6 300	mance Data 2002	2.6 2.5 298		
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999  2.7  2.4  270  113,755	2000 	2.7 2.4 288	2.6 2.6 300 117,407	mance Data 2002  1 Final	2.6 2.5 298 17,307		
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04  ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999  2.7  2.4  270  113,755	2000 120	2.7 2.4 288 0,247	2.6 2.6 300 117,407	mance Data 2002  1 Final	2.6 2.5 298 17,307	2003	2
Numerator  Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 2.7 2.4 270 113,755	2000 	2.7 2.4 288 0,247	2.6 2.6 300 117,407	mance Data 2002  1 Final	2.6 2.5 298 17,307		
Numerator Denominator  PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective	1999 2.7 2.4 270 113,755	2000 120 An	2.7 2.4 288 0,247	2.6 2.6 300 117,407	mance Data 2002  1 Final  mance Data 2007	2.6 2.5 298 17,307	2003	2
Numerator  Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999  2.7  2.4  270  113,755	2000 1200 An 2005	2.7 2.4 288 0,247	2.6 2.6 300 117,407	mance Data 2002  1 Final  mance Data 2007	2.6 2.5 298 17,307	2003	2

Denominator

The perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective	13	13	8.4	8.3	
Annual Indicator	8.9	8.9	8.4	8.1	
Numerator	1,017	1,069	990	949	
Denominator	114,149	120,716	118,539	117,709	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	8	8			
Annual Indicator					
	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numerat  Objective and Perfor 2001		Annual Indicators 2003
Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for future	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2003
Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	not required for future for futur	ure year data.  Annual 2000	Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data 2002	2003
Numerator Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective	1999 26.5 24.8	Annual 2000 26 23.7	Objective and Perfor 2001 23.5 21.7	mance Data 2002 23.5	2003
Numerator  Denominator  JTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	1999 26.5 24.8 362	Annual 2000 26 23.7	Objective and Perfor 2001 23.5 21.7 340	mance Data 2002 23.5 22.7	2003
Numerator  Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06  e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	1999 26.5 24.8 362 1,459,510	Annual 2000 26 23.7 368	Objective and Perfor 2001 23.5 21.7 340	2002 23.5 22.7 362	
Numerator  Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06  The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 26.5 24.8 362 1,459,510	Annual 2000 26 23.7 368 1,553,848	Objective and Perfor 2001 23.5 21.7 340	23.5 22.7 362 1,593,743 Final	2003
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 26.5 24.8 362 1,459,510	Annual 2000 26 23.7 368 1,553,848	Objective and Perfor 2001 23.5 21.7 340 1,566,482	23.5 22.7 362 1,593,743 Final	2003
Numerator Denominator  PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 26.5 24.8 362 1,459,510	Annual 2000 26 23.7 368 1,5553,848	Objective and Perfor 2001 23.5 21.7 340 1,566,482	23.5 22.7 362 1,593,743 Final	<b>2003</b> 23.
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 26.5 24.8 362 1,459,510	Annual 2000 26 23.7 368 1,553,848 Annual 2005 23	Objective and Perfor 2001 23.5 21.7 340 1,566,482	23.5 22.7 362 1,593,743 Final	2003 23.

Denominator

Annual Objective and Performance Data   1999   2000   2001   2002   2003						
Annual Performance Objective 25.0 16.5 16.5 16.5  Annual Indicator 16.7 15.8 16.9  Numerator 19 19 20  Denominator 113,755 120,247 118,112  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Objective and Performance Data 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008		1000		-		2003
Numerator         19         19         20           Denominator         113,755         120,247         118,112           Is the Data Provisional or Final?             Annual Objective and Performance Data           2004         2005         2006         2007         2008	Annual Performance Objective					<b>2003</b>
Denominator	Annual Indicator	16.7	15.8	16.9		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Objective and Performance Data  2004 2005 2006 2007 2008	Numerator	19	19	20		
Annual Objective and Performance Data 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008	Denominator	113,755	120,247	118,112		
2004 2005 2006 2007 2008	Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,				
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
Annual Performance Objective 16 15.5		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
·	Annual Performance Objective	16	15.5			(
Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator	Numerator	not required for fut		220.0 , 52.0. 1441110141	or, 2 or or mater and	aaaioatoio

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: NC 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 2 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 3 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 3 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 1 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 3 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 1 Total Score: 13 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met

3 = Completely Met

These ratings were selected through a survey of the members of the Family Advisory Council in June 2004.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

### FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: NC FY: 2005

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Strengthening public health infrastructure at the state and local level.
- 2. Reducing disparities in health outcomes (racial/ethnic, geographical, socioeconomic, and for persons with disablities).
- 3. Assuring access to high quality care for all segments of the MCH population
- 4. Improving access to high quality health and related services in school settings by increasing the nurse-to-student ratio in NC public schools to an average of 1:750 or less.
- 5. Assuring that the school health curriculum used in NC public schools comprehensively addresses a range of health and related issues relevant to school age children.
- 6. Improving nutrition and fitness among children and adolescents.
- 7. Improving pregnancy outcomes for all women.
- 8. Reducing unintended pregnancies.
- 9. Improving childhood immunization coverage through full implementation of a statewide computerized tracking system.
- 10. Effective organization and delivery of family support (psycho-social, care coordination, home visiting) services for children and families.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

## FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: NC APPLICATION YEAR: 2005

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
1.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Use of HealthMETRICS Best Practices in Public Family Planning Clinics	To examine ways to improve efficiency and cost-effectiveness of public child health clinics and to improve quality of care and patient and staff satisfaction.	HealthMETRICS Partners
2.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Nutrition information for Children with Special Health Care Needs	To increase agency capacity to ensure appropriate nutrition services are provided in all settings serving CSHCN.	Unknown
3.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Child Find in Early Intervention	To increase early intervention participation rates in North Carolina and determine methods for finding children as early as possible.	1)National Early Childhood Technical Assistance Center2)US Office of Special Education Programs
4.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
10.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

#### **FORM 16** STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: NC

SP#

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Number of children affected in substantiated reports of abuse and/or neglect as compared with previous years.

STATUS:

To ensure that families receive sufficient and appropriate support during pregnancy, at birth and during child-rearing years, resulting in reduced incidence of substantiated child abuse and/or neglect. GOAL

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator: Denominator:

Units: Text: Number

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Data is provided by the North Carolina Central Registry's Reports of Child Abuse, Neglect and Dependency and the North **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Carolina Medical Examiner's Office. Because the sample of families receiving intensive home visiting or other interventions aimed at reduction of child abuse/neglect is small compared to the total target population, it is not expected that there will

be a significant reduction in the number of substantiated reports.

Substantiated reports of child abuse and neglect continue to increase, both nationally and in North Carolina. Research SIGNIFICANCE confirms that intensive home visiting can result in reduced incidence of child abuse and neglect, as well as improvement in

other health outcomes for young children and their caretakers. The Division of Women's and Children's Health has joined with other State agencies and Prevent Child Abuse North Carolina, in planning and implementing the Statewide Home

Visiting Demonstration Projects, funded by a late-1997 appropriation of the NC General Assembly.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than or equal to 10 micrograms/dL) of lead.

STATUS:

Active

GOAL To reduce both the incidence and severity of blood lead poisoning among children under 6 years of age.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator

Number of children 6 months to 6 years of age who, when initially screened for elevated blood levels during the calendar year, had a screening test resulr greater than or equal to 10 micrograms/dL.

Denominator

Number of children 6 months to 6 years of age who were screened for elevated blood levels during the calendar year.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Data Sources and Data Issues

Data for numbers of children screened come from those children whose blood levels are analyzed by the State Laboratory and from data reported to the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program by other laboratories. Blood lead analysis is

provided free of charge for children under 6 years of age who are seen by private or public health care providers. Not all

private laboratories report screening data to the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program.

There is abundant documentation of the deleterious effects of lead on the developing nervous, hematapoietic, endocrine, renal and reproductive systems. Younger children are especially at risk for blood lead poisoning secondary to ingestion of lead via hand-to-mouth activities. Children also absorb lead into their bloodstreams more readily than do adults. Screening activities allow for early detection and treatment of elevated blood levels. These activities also afford health care providers opportunities to educate families on lead hazards, with the secondary benefit of preventing cases of lead poisoning. A benefit of enhanced screening is the recognition of communities at higher risk for lead poisoning. Targeted screening,

prevention, and abatement activities are implemented in these communities.

SIGNIFICANCE

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percentage of women who gained > 15 pounds during pregnancy.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To increase the percent of women who gain > 15 pounds during pregnancy.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:
The number of women who delivered during the calendar year and who gained > 15 pounds during pregnancy.

Denominator:

The number of women who delivered during the calendar year with a reported prenatal weight gain.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Birth certificates with a reported weight gain.

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

When maternal weight gain is within the range recommended by the Institute of Medicine (IOM), the incidence of small-forgestational age and/or lwo birthweight births is reduced. Recommended weight gain is inversely related to maternal prepregnancy weight status with a weight gain of at least 15 pounds recommended for obese women.

Percent of children 5-18 who are obese. Obesity is conservatively defined as a body mass index (BMI) greater than or equal **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** 

to the 95th percentile for gender and age.

STATUS:

GOAL To enhance the physical and mental health of children and adolescents by reducing morbidity secondary to obesity.

**DEFINITION** 

Unduplicated number of children ages 5 through 18, seen in a publicly-funded health center, with a body mass index greater

than or equal to the 95th percentile for gender and age.

Denominator:

Unduplicated number of children ages 5 through 18, seen in a publicly-funded health center, who had both a height and

weight measured at the time of their visit.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** Data is compiled by the State Center for Health Statistics based on data submitted through the Health Services Information

System by local health departments, Development Evaluation Centers and School-Based/School-Linked Health Centers. The data is biased toward low to moderate income children, and may not be representative of the population at large.

Obesity is the most prevalent nutritional disease of children and adolescents in the United States. Many of the cardiovascular consequences of adult obesity are preceded by abnormalities that begin in childhood. **SIGNIFICANCE** 

Page 56 of 81

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of adolescents in public schools with access to services of a school-based or school-linked health care center.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To increase access to comprehensive health services, i.e., primary care, mental health, nutrition and other health promotion

services to youth ages 10-19.

**DEFINITION** Adolescents in public schools with access to services of a school-based or school-linked health care center.

Numerator:

Number of students enrolled in schools with school-based health centers.

Denominator:

Number of students enrolled in North Carolina public schools.

Units: 100 Text: 1

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Division of Women's and Children's (DWCH) School Health Program data, including quarterly and annual reports from the state and RWJ funded school health centers.NC Department of Public Instruction Educations Director---LEA enrollment

SIGNIFICANCE

Many school-aged adolescents do not get the health care they need, because they: 1) live in an area with few health care providers, 2) cannot take time off from school or because their parents cannot take time off from work, 3) lack money and/or transportation, and 4) do not know how to get to health care services. School-based health centers offer students access to

health care by locating them where they spend most of their day.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women who smoke during pregnancy.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce smoking among pregnant women.

**DEFINITION** 

**Numerator:** The number of birth certificates reporting that mother used tobacco during pregnancy.

**Denominator:**The number of live births in the State in the calendar year.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** Vital records collected by the State.

SIGNIFICANCE Smoking rates among pregnant women in North Carolina are substantially higher than the HP2000 Goal.

The percent of women responding to the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) survey that they wanted **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** 

to be pregnant later or not then or at any time in the future.

STATUS:

GOAL To decrease the percentage of women delivering a baby in a given year who report that their pregnancy was unintented

**DEFINITION** 

Number of women responding to the PRAMS survey that they wanted to be pregnant later or did not want to be pregnant

then or at any time in the future.

Denominator:

Number of women responding to the PRAMS survey

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** NC PRAMS survey of resident mothers delivering a live infant. Approximately 2000 women are surveyed each year.

Denominator and numerator dara are weighted by the CDC.

Unintended pregnancy is correlated with late or inadequate prenatal care, low birth weight, neonatal death, domestic **SIGNIFICANCE** 

violence, child abuse, and exposure of the fetus to harmful substances like tobacco, alcohol, and other drugs. It is associated with social and economic co-factors such as economic hardship, marital dissolution, failure to achieve educational goals, and spousal abuse.

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase the proportion of pregnancies begun with an optimum folic acid level.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of women between the ages of 18 and 44 responding yes to the BRFSS Folic Acid Module questions "Are any of these [vitamin pills or supplements] a multivitamin?" and "Do any of the vitamin pills or supplements you take contain folic acid?"

Number of women between the ages of 18 and 44 responding to the BRFSS Folic Acid Module Questions.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

North Carolina BRFSS Folic Acid Module

SIGNIFICANCE

Neural Tube Defects (NTDs), including spina bifida, occur when the fetal neural tube fails to close fully, interrupting development of the central nervous system. Approximately 50 percent of pregnancies affected with NTDs may be prevented with adequate consumption of folic acid from 1 month begore conception through the first 3 months of pregnancy. In 1992, the US Public Health Service (PHS) recommended that all women of childbearing age consume 400 micrograms of folic

acid daily.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The ratio of school health nurses to the public school student population.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To decrease the ratio of school health nurses to the student population.

**DEFINITION**The ratio of school health nurses to the public school student population. Ratios are no longer accepted values, but the

number of students divided by the number of school health nurse FTEs gives the value for second number in the 1:XXX

ratio.

Numerator:

The number of public school students in NC in a given school year.

Denominator:

The number of school health nurse full-time equivalences (FTEs) in a given school year.

Units: 1 Text: Ratio

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

North Carolina Annual School Health Services Report for Public Schools

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

A licensed practical nurse or registered nurse is an essential component of a healthy school. Current models of school health services reflect an understanding that children's physical and mental health are linked to their abilities to succeed academically and socially in the school environment. School nurses assess student health and development, help families determine when medical services are needed, and serve as a professional link with physicians and community resources.

SO# 1

**OUTCOME MEASURE:** The maternal mortality ratio per 100,000 live births.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the number of maternal deaths.

**DEFINITION** 

**Numerator:** The number of deaths to women while pregnant or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy.

Denominator: Number of live births.

Units: 100000 Text: Per 100000

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Vital records collected by the State. The number of maternal deaths includes direct and indirect obstetric deaths identified through enhanced surveillance of maternal mortality. The enhanced surveillance system involves computer matching of deaths to reproductive-age women to live birth/fetal death records (case finding), and medical review to establish

circumstances of death as related to pregnancy complications.

SIGNIFICANCE Review of maternal deaths contributes to our understanding of the risk factors of maternal deaths, as well as to the

evaluation and improvement of the quality of care.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

## FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: NC

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data							
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003			
Annual Indicator	82.0	79.6	60.6	70.7	75.4			
Numerator	4,224	4,470	3,470	3,950	4,295			
Denominator	514,882	561,592	572,868	558,585	569,623			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final			

#### HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose ageis less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003		
Annual Indicator	83.9	85.4	87.7	89.2	89.8		
Numerator	74,588	78,500	84,600	87,126	87,821		
Denominator	88,888	91,931	96,520	97,667	97,798		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final		

#### **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03**

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data							
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003			
Annual Indicator	0	69.4	71.7	37.2	75.5			
Numerator		34	43	16	77			
Denominator		49	60	43	102			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final			

#### HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

Is the Data

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	88.4	88.8	88.5	88.3	87.5
Numerator	98,369	101,054	106,019	103,990	102,683
Denominator	111,238	113,755	119,826	117,752	117,307
a Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ıta</u>	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	21.2	20.8	24.4	32.2	34.6
Numerator	33,395	36,924	36,763	55,972	60,682
Denominator	157,334	177,525	150,420	173,895	175,393
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
ALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08				T III CI	i iliai
	g rehabilitative servi	ces from the State Ch	ildren with Special Ho	ealth Care Needs (CS	
	g rehabilitative servi	ces from the State Ch	•	ealth Care Needs (CS	
	1999		Annual Indicator Da	ealth Care Needs (CS	SHCN) Program.
e percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receivin	1999	2000	Annual Indicator Da	ealth Care Needs (CS ata 2002	SHCN) Program.
	1999 100	2000	Annual Indicator Da	ealth Care Needs (CS ata 2002	SHCN) Program.

None

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

In May 2003, data for FY99-01 were revised to state fiscal year data (July 1 - June 30) and these data were provided for FY02 & FY03. Prior to FY99, calendar year data is reported.

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data from CY00 on have been revised to include just those children receiving Medicaid and not those under the state SCHIP (Health Choice) plan. Thus, the data for FY99 and FY00 should not be compared to the rest of the data. The FY data are actually for the prior CY.

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

New methodology initiated in FY02 application and all indicators have been revised. Since January 1, 1995, all SSI beneficiaries <16 years old have been eligible for Medicaid in North Carolina.

# FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: NC

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	VEAD	DATA COURCE		POPULATION	
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2002	Matching data files	10.7	7.8	9
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2001	Matching data files	10.1	6.7	8.3
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2002	Matching data files	75.3	92.1	83.7
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2002	Matching data files	83.5	90.9	87.8

## FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: NC

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2003	<u>185</u>
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 5) (Age range 6 to 18) (Age range to )	2003	133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2003	185

## FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: NC

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2003	
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 18 ) (Age range to ) (Age range to )	2003	200
c) Pregnant Women		

None

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP Field Name: SCHIP\_Women Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The state SCHIP program, Health Choice, does not cover maternity care.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: CareFirstTrimester

Row Name: Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for this indicator differ from NPM#18 because this indicator is based on CY2002 data and NPM#18 2002is really CY2001 data. This indicator matches the data listed

under FY2003 in NPM#18.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: AdequateCare

Row Name: Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for this indicator differ from HSCI#4 because this indicator includes women ages 18 through 50 and HSCI#4 only includes women ages 15 through 44.

#### **FORM 19** HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: NC

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner?  (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	1	No
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	Yes
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes
41 4 11		

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
  2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
  3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

## FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: NC

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Other: Youth Tobacco Survey (CDC sponsored)	3	Yes

## HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	Yes
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other: Local Health Department Service Data (HSIS)	3	Yes

#### \*Where:

- 1 No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

#### Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: NC

1999 Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	9,870	8.9 10,108	8.8 10,572	9.0 10,579	9.0
Numerator Denominator	9,870		10,572	10,579	10.550
Denominator				-,	
	,	113,755	120,247	118,112	117,307
				Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B see percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
1999		2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	7.2	7.3	7.2	6.9	7.0
Numerator	7,791	8,005	8,332	8,121	8,181
Denominator	108,205	110,320	116,505	118,112	117,307
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A se percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.			Annual Indicator Da	<del></del> '	
1999		<b>2000</b> 1.9	<b>2001</b> 1.9	<b>2002</b> 1.9	<b>2003</b> 1.9
Annual Indicator				2,293	
Numerator		2,186			2,175
Denominator	111,631	113,755	120,247	118,112	117,307 Final
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					FIIIai

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Final

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03A					
The death rate per 100,000 due to unintentional injuries among childr	en aged 14 years a	nd younger.			
			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
	11.4		10.6	9.7	9.9
Numerator	176	171	177	163	169
Denominator	1,543,928	1,560,534	1,671,411	1,686,165	1,706,584
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B ne death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children a	aged 14 years and	ounger due to motor	vehicle crashes.		
		_	Annual Indicator Da	ata_	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	6.4	4.9	5.9	5.6	5.3
Numerator	99	77	99	94	90
Denominator	1 543 928	1,560,534	1 671 111	4 000 000	4 =00 =0
= 310111111111	:,0:0,020	1,000,004	1,671,411	1,682,289	1,706,582
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		1,500,554	1,071,411	1,082,289 Final	1,706,584 Final
Is the Data Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version.  Annual Indicator	ehicle crashes amo	ng youth aged 15 thro <b>2000</b> 34.7	ugh 24 years.  Annual Indicator Da  2001  31.9	Final  ata 2002 33.1	Final 2003 32.9
Is the Data Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  he death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version.  Annual Indicator  Numerator	ehicle crashes amo 1999 37.2	2000 34.7	ugh 24 years.  Annual Indicator Day 2001 31.9	Final  ata 2002  33.1  380	2003 32.9
Is the Data Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  he death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2999 37.2 385 1,033,635	ng youth aged 15 thro <b>2000</b> 34.7	ugh 24 years.  Annual Indicator Da  2001  31.9	Final  ata 2002 33.1	2003 32.9
Is the Data Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version.  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2999 37.2 385 1,033,635	2000 34.7	ugh 24 years.  Annual Indicator Day 2001 31.9	Final  2002  33.1  380  1,149,654	2003 32.5 387 1,177,623
Is the Data Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 37.2 385 1,033,635	2000 34.7	ugh 24 years.  Annual Indicator Day 2001  31.9  350  1,096,005	Final  2002  33.1  380  1,149,654  Final	2003 32.5 387 1,177,623
Is the Data Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2 385 1,033,635 2 ears and younger.	2000 34.7 361 1,040,914	ugh 24 years.  Annual Indicator Day 2001  31.9  350  1,096,005	Final  2002  33.1  380  1,149,654  Final	2003 32.5 387 1,177,623 Final
Is the Data Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04A  ne rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 y	24 and younger.	2000 34.7 361 1,040,914	ugh 24 years.  Annual Indicator Day 2001  31.9  350  1,096,005	Final  2002  33.1  380  1,149,654  Final	2003 32.9 387 1,177,623 Final
Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor visional Indicator  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04A  The rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 y  Annual Indicator	2 37.2 385 1,033,635 2 2 368.7	2000 34.7 361 1,040,914 2000 334.0	ugh 24 years.  Annual Indicator Day 2001  31.9  350  1,096,005  Annual Indicator Day 2001  322.9	Final  2002  33.1  380  1,149,654  Final  2002  309.0	2003 32.9 387 1,177,623 Final
Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04A  The rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 y  Annual Indicator  Numerator	24 and younger.	2000 34.7 361 1,040,914 2000 334.0 5,214	ugh 24 years.  Annual Indicator Day 2001  31.9  350  1,096,005  Annual Indicator Day 2001	Final  2002  33.1  380  1,149,654  Final	2003  32.  38  1,177,62  Final  2003  316.

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Final

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04B The rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among children ag	ed 14 years and youn	ger		
The face per 100,000 of Hornatal Injuriou and to Hotel Verilolo diability	among omaton ag	sa i i youro ana your	Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	775.2	764.7	785.9	711.5	606.8
Numerator	11,969	11,936	13,136	11,970	10,356
	1,543,928		1,671,411	1,682,289	1,706,584
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
he rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among youth aged	15 through 24 years.			
			Annual Indicator Da		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
	4,181.1	4,078.0	3,682.3	3,470.8	2,969.3
	43,217		40,358	39,902	34,967
Denominator	1,033,635	1,040,914	1,096,005	1,149,654	1,177,623
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
				Final	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A				Final	Provisional
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	case of chlamydia.		Annual Indicator Da	ata	
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia.	2000	2001	ata 2002	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia.	31.1	<b>2001</b> 30.4	ata 2002 30.0	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia.	31.1 7,973	<b>2001</b> 30.4	ata 2002	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years w	23se of chlamydia. 1999 34.2 8,584	31.1 7,973	<b>2001</b> 30.4	ata 2002 30.0	
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator	251,244	31.1 7,973	30.4 7,957	30.0 8,442	2003 31.6 8,892
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	251,244	31.1 7,973	30.4 7,957	2002 30.0 8,442 281,361	2003 31.6 8,892 281,361
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2351,244	31.1 7,973	30.4 7,957	2002 30.0 8,442 281,361	2003 31.6 8,892 281,361
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2351,244	31.1 7,973	30.4 7,957	30.0 8,442 281,361 Final	2003 31.6 8,892 281,361
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,00	2351,244	31.1 7,973	30.4 7,957 261,510	30.0 8,442 281,361 Final	2003 31.6 8,892 281,361
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	251,244 case of chlamydia.	31.1 7,973 256,666	30.4 7,957 261,510	30.0 8,442 281,361 Final	2003 31.6 8,892 281,361 Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B  The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	251,244 251,244 2999 6.4	31.1 7,973 256,666 2000 6.4	2001 30.4 7,957 261,510 Annual Indicator Day 2001	2002 30.0 8,442 281,361 Final	2003 31.6 8,892 281,361 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

None

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Numerator data from Eric Rodgman at UNC Highway Safety Research Center. Denominators from SCHS (same as those used in HSI#03B).

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Numerator data from Eric Rodgman at UNC Highway Safety Research Center. Denominators from SCHS (same as those used in HSI#03C).

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A Field Name: HSI05A

Field Name: HSI0 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Rate denominators were calculated using the latest available bridged race population estimates from the National Center for Health Statistics. Because bridged race population estimates were unavailable for 2003, 2002 estimates were used as denominators in rate calculation for 2003. Thus, the 2003 rates should be considered preliminary.

HSI #06A - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and race. (Demographics) For both parts A and B: Reporting Year: 2002 Is this data from a State Projection? Yes

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	120,868	84,799	29,567	1,572	2,238	72	2,620	0
Children 1 through 4	464,237	322,816	114,833	6,927	8,753	402	10,506	0
Children 5 through 9	561,751	383,109	148,390	8,790	10,135	526	10,801	0
Children 10 through 14	592,458	400,462	163,479	9,170	9,921	497	8,929	0
Children 15 through 19	544,720	373,845	145,416	8,323	9,726	466	6,944	0
Children 20 through 24	600,244	426,563	145,136	9,393	11,946	671	6,535	0
Children 0 through 24	2,884,278	1,991,594	746,821	44,175	52,719	2,634	46,335	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	107,626	13,242	0
Children 1 through 4	420,557	43,680	0
Children 5 through 9	522,624	39,127	0
Children 10 through 14	560,724	31,734	0
Children 15 through 19	514,857	29,863	0
Children 20 through 24	540,681	59,563	0
Children 0 through 24	2,667,069	217,209	0

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	301	110	182	8	1	0	0	0
Women 15 through 17	4,589	2,607	1,817	106	3	47	0	9
Women 18 through 19	9,162	5,719	3,104	223	3	107	0	6
Women 20 through 34	89,941	66,227	20,059	1,218	265	2,098	0	74
Women 35 or older	13,314	10,454	2,383	99	60	309	0	9
Women of all ages	117,307	85,117	27,545	1,654	332	2,561	0	98

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	
Women < 15	256	45	0	
Women 15 through 17	3,822	763	4	
Women 18 through 19	7,785	1,361	16	
Women 20 through 34	77,946	11,916	79	
Women 35 or older	12,324	978	12	
Women of all ages	102,133	15,063	111	

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) De	aths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)
For both parts A and B: Reporting Year: 2002	Is this data from a State Projection? No

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	957	499	428	17	7	0	0	6
Children 1 through 4	132	80	47	2	3	0	0	0
Children 5 through 9	101	63	35	2	1	0	0	0
Children 10 through 14	129	79	43	4	3	0	0	0
Children 15 through 19	396	282	101	7	4	1	0	1
Children 20 through 24	593	384	191	12	6	0	0	0
Children 0 through 24	2,308	1,387	845	44	24	1	0	7

#### HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
868	87	2
115	17	0
90	11	0
120	9	0
370	25	1
520	73	0
2,083	222	3
		868     87       115     17       90     11       120     9       370     25       520     73

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	0								
Percent in household headed by single parent									
Percent in TANF (Grant) families									
Number enrolled in Medicaid	0								
Number enrolled in SCHIP	0								
Number living in foster home care	0								
Number enrolled in food stamp program	0								
Number enrolled in WIC	0								
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests									
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)									

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity.(Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19				
Percent in household headed by single parent				
Percent in TANF (Grant) families				
Number enrolled in Medicaid				
Number enrolled in SCHIP				
Number living in foster home care				
Number enrolled in food stamp program				
Number enrolled in WIC				
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests				
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)				

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

Reporting Year: Is this data from a State Projection? No

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL		
Living in metropolitan areas			
Living in urban areas			
Living in rural areas	<u> </u>		
Living in frontier areas			
Total - all children 0 through 19	0		
Note: The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.			

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: NC

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	
100% of poverty	
200% of poverty	

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: NC

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

Reporting Year: Is this data from a State Projection? No

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	
100% of poverty	
200% of poverty	

Data Source for 6A and 6B is the US Bureau of the Census, Population Estimates Branch; 7/1/2002 resident population estimates from the 7/1/2002 State Characteristics Population Estimates File for Internet Display.

Data Source for 7A, 7B, 8A, and 8B is NC Vital Statistics.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None